eproved For Release 2002/09/04 : CIA-RDP 70 130 CAQUE 9000 COD 22-

NSC BRIEFING

CHANGES IN SOUTH ACRES LITTURE

Khrushchev has again proposed shaking up the Soviet system in his campaign to catch up with the West. Now he proposes a radical but gradual transformation of the Machine Tractor Stations (MTS) -- for many years the "stronghold" of economic and political control in the countryside.

A. It is interesting that this change was recommended to various Soviet officials by members of the American Agricultural Delegation in 1955. This is another example of Khrushchev revamping outdated institutions and abandoning dogma for the sake of production.

Approved For Release 2002/09/04; CIARRY 70R0889640806805 horzes 1930. The Machine Tractor Stations which serve the collective farms on a with operating personnel which serve the collective farms on a contract basis. (There are about 8,000 MTS.) For many years they have been the principal instrument of the regime in the countryside They exercised control over each farm's production and had a corps of political instructors which was, used to insure proper political orientation on the farms.

- A. Khrushchev now says "sell the machines to the collective farms."

 (MTS machinery is valued at nearly 7 billion dollars.)
- B. He has ignored Stalin's warning in 1952 that such a move "could only retard our advance toward Communism" because it would transfer the tools of production away from the state

IV. Khrushchev is probably right in figuring that the MTS are no longe needed to maintain political control.

Approved For Release 2002/09/04: CIA RBP 79R00890A000900050022-9

During the past three years he has installed thousands of

Communists as chairmen of the collective farms and has built

up Party strength in the countryside.

The change may, however, bring out the opposition of the remaining Stallhist die-hards, perhaps Suslov. It will be recalled that the last major change—the economic management reorganization—forced the Malenkov-Molotov group into the open.

Another consequence is that the regime will be in a better position to determine whether the collective (cooperative) farms or the state (government owned) farms should predominate. There is good reason to believe that in the past Khrushchev has favored eventual conversion to complete state ownership.

